

U.S. Appl. No. ◇
Page 3

Amendment to the Drawings:

The attached sheet of drawings includes changes to FIGS. 2-5. Sheet 2/3, which includes FIGS. 2 and 3, replaces the original sheet 2/3 including FIGS. 2 and 3, Sheet 3/3 which includes FIGS. 4 and 5, replaces the original sheet 3/3 including FIGS. 4 and 5. In FIGS. 4-4, previously misnamed elements 14, 28, and 42 have been renamed to agree with the Specification.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet
Annotated Sheet Showing Changes

U.S. Appln. No. ◇
Page 4


REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Claims 1-3 were rejected by the Examiner as being anticipated by Hope et. al. or Hoof under 35U.S.C. §102, and as being obvious under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) in view of either Hope et.al. or Hoof and further in view of Parsons et.al. Claim 2 was objected to as depending from a rejected Claim.

The Claims have been amended to more clearly define the present invention. Claim 1 has been amended to include some of the limitations found in original Claim 2. In particular the fluid connection with the lube circuit, and the lube circuit being supplied by the second source. These limitations are not anticipated by the prior art and are believed to provide patentability for the Claim as amended. Claim 2 defines the sources as an engine driven pump and as an electric pump. Original Claim 3 now depends from an amended Claim 1 and is believed to define over the prior art.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, this application is believed to be in condition for allowance, which is herewith respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Donald F. Scherer, Attorney
Reg. No. 24,539
810-953-9268

Attachments:

GP-302404
Legal Staff, GM Corp., Detroit, MI
ANNOTATED SHEET
2/3




FIG. 2




FIG. 3

GP-302404
 Legal Staff, GM Corp., Detroit, MI
ANNOTATED SHEET
 3/3

